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Abstract: Low acidity catalytic formulations were prepared incorporating MgO and Li₂O to the conventional CoMoW/Al₂O₃ catalysts. The CoMoW active phases were impregnated using an ammoniacal solution containing the metallic complexes as citrates. A high dispersion of the Co, Mo and W species in octahedral coordination, inhibiting the agglomeration towards phases like MoO₃, WO₃ and CoOₓ was attained. The obtained formulations preserve the catalytic activity in the hydrodesulphurization (HDS) reaction of dibenzothiophene (DBT), enhancing the selectivity towards the direct desulphurization route. The prepared formulations show a lower hydrogenation function due to its low acidity.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the last few years, refining industry use for sulphur removal the hydrodesulphurization process (HDS), reacting in a lab scale with model molecules such as dibenzothiophene (DBT) and 4,6 dimethylthiophene (4,6-DMTB), which are representatives of diesel and gasoils fuels (Ding, Zhou, Wei, Jiang, & Zhou, 2018; Wang, Wang, & Yu, 2012).

Sulphur removal has been carried out through two main reaction routes, in one hand the hydrodesulphurization (HDS) where sulphur is removed by the molecule hydrogenation (HYD) and in the other hand the direct desulphurization (DDS) where sulphur is removed by the cleavage of the C-S bond, this side-reaction takes place with a reaction rate slower than the hydrogenation side-reaction. Catalysts used commonly for this HDS reaction are based on Ni(Co) Mo (W) sulphides supported on alumina, that have high acidity and are able to remove the sulphur from the DBT molecules by the hydrogenation route (Klicpera & Zdražil, 2002). In the past has been
reported the same active phases in different catalytic supports, such as SiO$_2$, TiO$_2$, SiO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$, MCM-41, SBA-15 to cite some of them, although the HDS activity has been increased, the direct desulfurization has been inhibited (Ganiyu, Ali, & Alhooshani, 2017; Gómez-Orozco et al., 2018; Halachev, Atanasova, Agudo, Arias, & Ramirez, 2019; Huirache-Acuña et al., 2006; Nikulshina et al. 2019; Kwak, Lee, Bae, Choi, & Moon, 2000; Obeso-Estrella et al., 2018). It is important to remark that in most cases nature of the catalytic support is acidic; in very few cases, catalytic formulations with low acidity have been reported for the sulphur elimination, with low hydrogenation of the DBT molecules. However, these have the inconvenient of the decrease in their catalytic activity. This leads to the proposal of incorporate tungsten as an active phase to keep the catalytic activity showed by conventional CoMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ formulations decreasing the hydrogenation function at the same time (Payen, Hubaut, Kaszetlan, Poulet, & Grimblot, 1994). In this work, it is investigated the effect of incorporate Mg and Li into the conventional CoMoW catalytic formulation (Escobar-Alarcón et al., 2013). CoMoW/Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO and CoMoW/Al$_2$O$_3$ formulations were used as reference. Catalytic tests were performed through the HDS reaction of the DBT molecule, characteristic of some fuel fractions. It is expected that the CoMoW/Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO-Li$_2$O formulation preserve the catalytic activity enhancing the selectivity towards direct desulfurization, through a low hydrogenation function, which will result in the preservation of the octane number in fuels (Klimova, Casados, & Ramírez, 1998; Solís-Casados, Escobar, Orozco, & Klimova, 2010, Solís-Casados et al., 2016).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 PREPARATION OF CATALYTIC SUPPORTS

Catalytic supports were prepared following the procedure reported in a previous work (Solís-Casados et al., 2016). The chemical precursors were Mg(OC$_2$H$_5$)$_2$ (magnesium ethoxide Sigma, ACS reagent), AIOOH (pseudo-boehmite Catapal BTM Sassol), a solution of HCOOH 5 vol% (formic acid Sigma, ACS reagent, ≥96.0%), C$_6$H$_5$O$_2$ (citric acid Aldrich, ACS reagent, ≥ 99.5%) and LiOH (lithium hydroxide, Sigma–Aldrich, ACS reagent). Gelification of AIOOH was made by adding the solution of formic acid (HCOOH, 5 vol.%), this gel was used as a binder to conform pellets of the Al$_2$O$_3$ and Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO powders. The Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO pellets were impregnated with a solution of lithium hydroxide (LiOH) to produce the Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO-Li$_2$O support. All catalytic supports, Al$_2$O$_3$, Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO and Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO-Li$_2$O were obtained as pellets and were subjected to a thermal treatment at 500 °C for 4 h in an oven with air convection.

2.2 PREPARATION OF CATALYTIC FORMULATION

The catalytic supports in pellet form were impregnated by the incipient wet method using an ammoniacal solution containing citrates of Co, Mo and W, as complexes. The impregnant solution was prepared at 80 °C using an optimized method reported elsewhere (Ganiyu et al., 2017; Huirache-Acuña et al., 2006) with CoCO$_3$ monohydrated (Aldrich, 99.998 % trace metals basis), MoO$_3$ (Fermont) and (NH$_4$)$_2$H$_2$W$_2$O$_7$.xH$_2$O were used as metallic precursors. Citric acid (C$_6$H$_5$O$_2$, Aldrich) and NH$_3$ aqueous ammonia (Fermont) were used to stabilize the pH of the impregnant solution at 8.5 (Rimaldi et al., 2010). Impregnating solution was synthesized to get after impregnation and thermal treatment 12% MoO$_3$ and ratios of [Co/Co+ (Mo o W)] = 0.4 approximately. Once the supports were impregnated they were aged by 12 h until total dry. Finally, the impregnated supports were subjected to a thermal treatment at 400 °C during 3 h in an oven air convection to obtain the catalyst precursors in their oxidized form.

2.3 SUPPORTS AND CATALYST CHARACTERIZATION

2.3.1 Chemical composition

CoMoW catalysts supported on Al$_2$O$_3$, Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO and Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO-Li$_2$O were characterized in the oxidized state, determining their elemental chemical composition. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) using a JEOL JPS 9200 XPS was employed to determine the elemental content in each sample. Wide and narrow spectra were acquired using a Mg Kα X-ray source with a pass energy of 30 eV, dwell 200 and 10 scans. Base line subtraction was done with the integral background of the Shirley-type model. Charge correction was required to interpret the true chemical shift, as an energy reference was used the adventitious carbon by setting the binding energy of C 1s to 285 eV. From photoelectron spectra were quantified the atomic elemental composition for each sample using the Specsurf software and their relative sensitivity factors, derived empirically and valid for the JEOL JPS 9200 spectrometer.
2.3.2 Acidity determination

Ammonia TPD experiments were carried out in a vacuum TPD system. The experiment of NH₃ thermodesorption was made by adsorbing the NH₃ adsorbate gas on the catalytic material. The adsorbent material was previously thermally treated (250 °C) in vacuum to remove the physisorbed water or weakly physisorbed CO₂. After NH₃ adsorption, samples were heated under an inert gas flow to remove the physisorbed NH₃ species. The outlet gas was monitored on-line with a temperature conductivity detector (TCD), converting the obtained signal to concentration units through a calibration curve.

2.3.3 Textural properties

Textural properties were measured by N₂-physisorption technique using an ASAP 2020 equipment from Micromeritics. The surface areas were calculated using the Brunauer–Emmet–Teller (BET) equation. The adsorption–desorption isotherms were used to determine size (Kelvin equation) and shape of the pores. The total pore volume was measured at relative pressure (P/Pₒ) equal to 0.99. All samples were degassed out at 250°C during 3 h (5 μm de Hg) previous to N₂-physisorption analysis.

2.3.4 Structural characterization

Infrared spectroscopy was used to corroborate the elimination of organic compounds. In order to determine crystalline phases present in the oxidized catalysts, X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) was carried out in a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer equipped with a Linxeye detector, using Ni-filtered Cu Kα radiation. The measurement conditions were: 2 range = 5–80°, 22 step = 0.021°, acquisition time = 2.5 s per step. MicroRaman Spectroscopy was used to study the microstructural characteristics of the catalysts, this was done using an HR LabRam800 system equipped with an Olympus BX40 confocal microscope. A Nd:YAG laser beam (532 nm) was focused by a 50x microscope objective on to ≈1 μm diameter on the sample surface. The laser power at the sample was regulated by a neutral density filter (OD = 1) to prevent sample heating and structural changes induced in the sample. A CCD camera was used to record the spectra, usually averaged for 100 accumulations in order to improve the signal to noise ratio. All spectra were calibrated using the 521 cm⁻¹ line of a silicon wafer. Chemical coordination state and electronic transitions in catalysts as powders were determined from UV–Vis measurements. The spectra were recorded in the wavelength range from 200 to 1100 nm in the reflectance mode using a Perkin Elmer lambda-35 spectrophotometer equipped with a diffuse reflectance sphere with polytetrafluoroethylene as reference. Band gap energy was determined using the ultraviolet diffuse reflectance spectra. The band gap energy values can be related following an empirical procedure with the particle aggregation as was reported before (Weber, 1995).

2.3.5 Catalytic tests

The catalytic activity of the CoMoW catalysts supported onto Al₂O₃, Al₂O₃-MgO and Al₂O₃-MgO-Li(x) was tested in a pressurized batch reactor in the hydrodesulfurization of dibenzothiophene. Oxidized formulations were sulfided at 400°C (2 h) under an H₂S/H₂ (10%, v/v, Praxair) stream at a constant flow rate of 4 L/h. HDS activity was studied in a three-phase slurry batch reactor, Parr 4562 M. The mixture used in the reaction was prepared dissolving 300 mg of DBT in 100 ml of n-hexadecane as a solvent (both from Aldrich) and adding 200 mg of sieved catalyst (80–100 Tyler mesh, 0.165 mm of average particle diameter). The operation conditions were carefully chosen to avoid the external and/or internal diffusion limitations, were hydrogen pressure, P_H₂ was about 5.59 MPa, T = 260 and 320°C, working at 1000 rpm of mixing speed. Aliquots were taken each hour through the reaction time and analyzed in a gas chromatograph Perkin Elmer Auto System XL equipped with a flame ionization detector and Econopac-5 capillary column (Alttech). The obtained products from the main reaction routes as direct desulfurization (DDS) and hydrogenation (HYD) were biphenyl (BP), cyclohexylbenzene (CHB) and diciclohexyl (DCH). The amount of these products at reaction times was followed by the gas chromatography analysis.
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 CHARACTERIZATION OF OXIDIZED CATALYSTS

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy data showing the atomic composition are shown in table 1. It is seen the presence of Mg and Li in the corresponding catalysts in amounts close to 5% at. In general terms the composition data seem to be congruent to the theoretical expected. Also was expected that the addition of Mg and Li increases basic sites and consequently decrease the acidity in the concentration of acid sites as in their strength. This was measured by the total decrease in the concentration of NH$_3$ desorbed, indicating a decrease of the number of acid sites. Table 2 shows the NH$_3$ concentration thermodesorbed at three different intervals of temperature corresponding with sites of different strength. The interval from 120 to 200 °C can be associated with weak strength sites (sites W); from 200 to 400 °C can be related with medium strength sites and from 400 to 500 °C correspond to strong strength sites. It is clearly seen that incorporation of MgO decreases approximately by 28.5% the total concentration of acidic sites whereas the simultaneous incorporation of MgO and LiO diminish almost 56.8% the total concentration of acid sites. The acidic strength considered as the ratio of the concentration of sites S to the concentration of sites M, decrease from 0.12 for Al$_2$O$_3$ to 0.06 and 0.05 for the catalysts supported on Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO and Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO-LiO, which represents a decrease of 50% and 58% of acidic strength respectively. It is important to remark that the number of acidic sites and their strength will change the dispersion of precursors of active phases, it is probably that will be more agglomerated species in the catalysts supported on Al$_2$O$_3$ than in the other catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Sites W</th>
<th>Sites M</th>
<th>Sites S</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Acidic strength</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoMoW/CoMoW/</td>
<td>120-200</td>
<td>200-400</td>
<td>400-500</td>
<td>499.8</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>165.9</td>
<td>298.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO</td>
<td>139.6</td>
<td>204.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>357.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO-LiO</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>107.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>215.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The incorporation of MgO and Li$_2$O to the catalytic support change the textural properties. The specific surface area determined by the BET equation reveal a decrease from 213 to 202 m$^2$/g when MgO is added to the Al$_2$O$_3$ support. On the other side incorporation of MgO and Li$_2$O to the support diminish the surface area to 179 m$^2$/g, as is observed in table 3. Specific surface area decreases in 5% with MgO incorporation and decreases in 16% after Li$_2$O incorporation to the catalytic formulation as was expected since MgO and Li$_2$O pure have low specific surface areas around 130 and 50 m$^2$/g. Both oxides MgO and Li$_2$O were incorporated with the purpose of decrease the number of acid sites and their acidic strength in catalytic formulation. Additionally, as it can be seen in table 3, the total pore volume remains almost the same, close to 0.11 cm$^3$/g, however, the mean pore diameter increases from 59 to 105 Å. These results can be attributed to the decrease in specific surface area with MgO and Li$_2$O incorporation to the catalytic formulation. In fact, figure 1 shows a broad distribution of the mean pore diameter. Figure 2 shows IV-type adsorption isotherms according to the IUPAC classification, which is indicative of mesoporous materials. The hysteresis shape suggests a bottle-neck type pore form.

Table 1. Nominal composition determined by XPS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>At</th>
<th>Theoretical</th>
<th>CoMoW/CoMoW/Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>CoMoW/Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO</th>
<th>CoMoW/Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO-LiO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>49.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Concentration of NH$_3$ thermodesorbed, μmol/g.

Table 3. Textural properties of CoMoW catalyst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Specific Surface area, BET (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>Total pore volume, BJH (cm$^3$/g)</th>
<th>Mean pore diameter (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoMoW/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO-LiO</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
signals associated with crystalline phases related to MoO₅,
WO₃ and Co₃O₄ were observed. This can be explained
in terms of the dispersion and low agglomeration of the
CoMoW species in the prepared catalysts, as well as to
crystallite sizes expected (lower than 40 Å) and also due
to a dilution effect because of the low atomic
concentration. Figure 4. I) shows Raman spectra of the
CoMoW catalysts supported on Al₂O₃, Al₂O₃-MgO and
Al₂O₃-MgO-Li₂O. Raman spectra are characterized by
signals between 750-1000 cm⁻¹, as well as a band of less
intensity around 320 cm⁻¹ as can be seen in figure 4. I).
The high frequency band peaking close to 950 cm⁻¹, can
be attributed to several W and Mo species, in
particular, the signal at 951 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the
MoO₅ species, whereas the signal at approximately
836 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to the asymmetric mode of
stretching Mo-O-Mo bonds of molybdate species with
octahedral coordination, the weak signal at 750 cm⁻¹
corresponds to the symmetric O-W-O bonding (Clark,
& Hester, 1987; Escobar-Alarcón et al., 2013; Klimova
et al., 1998; Solís-Casados et al., 2010, 2016). It is
important to note that signals attributed to the MoO₅,
WO₃ and Co₃O₄ observed in figure 4.II) are absent in
the prepared catalytic formulations; this is an argument
to assume that species catalytically active are well
dispersed on the surface of the support. After
deconvolution of Raman spectra, it is clear that in all
samples can be seen the band located at 749 cm⁻¹
attributed to the O-W-O symmetric stretching. This
Raman spectra were deconvoluted analyzing the broad
band in the region of 800-1000 cm⁻¹, to corroborate the
idea of the change of species as an effect of the support,
where tetrahedral/octahedral ratio is increasing with
lithium incorporation as was reported before (Solís-
Casados et al., 2016; Pérez-Martínez, Eloy, Gaigneaux,
Giraldo, & Centeno, 2010; Vakros, Lycourghiotis,
Voyiatzis, Siokou, & Kordulis, 2010). The broad band
between 800-1000 cm⁻¹ region is attributed mainly to the
asymmetric stretching Mo=O bondings; however, this
broad band was deconvoluted defining three main peaks
in all spectra; it can be seen a shoulder located at 847-
867 cm⁻¹ which is characteristic of the asymmetric
stretching of Mo-O-Mo species; in the 924-937 cm⁻¹
region appear a band associated to the distorted
tetrahedral Mo species, band that remains in all
catalysts. The band located at 954 in catalyst supported
on Al₂O₃ and 964 cm⁻¹ in catalyst supported on Al₂O₃

In figure 3a) the diffraction patterns of the prepared
samples are shown. Three main diffraction lines at 36.8,
45.9 and 66.7 ° associated to the γ-Al₂O₃ (JCPDS 01-074-
2206) are observed. When MgO is incorporated to the
support, these diffraction lines show a slight shift and
broadening of the present peaks as figure 3b) reveals. Such
changes could be attributed to the formation of a small
proportion of the Al₂,2Mg₀,8O₄ crystalline phase (JCPDS
00-048-0528) coexisting with the alumina phase. In the
CoMoW/ Al₂O₃-MgO-Li₂O formulation additional
diffraction lines are observed at 19.9, 26.3, 26.4, 26.7°,
which are attributed to the crystalline phase
Li₂Mg₆(WO₄)₃ (JCPDS 01-082-1804). No diffraction

Fig. 1. Pore size distribution for a) CoMoW/Al₂O₃,
b) CoMoW/Al₂O₃-MgO and c) CoMoW/ Al₂O₃-MgO-Li₂O

Fig. 2. N₂-Physiosorption isotherms for a) CoMoW/Al₂O₃,
b) CoMoW/Al₂O₃-MgO and c) CoMoW/ Al₂O₃-MgO-Li₂O catalysts.
and \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 - \text{MgO} \) was attributed to the octahedral species of molybdenum, that seems to be a dominant specie in the monolayer due to molybdenum and oxygen polymeric in octahedral coordination; this band was not observed in the catalyst that contains lithium, which shows another band located at 903 cm\(^{-1} \) that is attributed to the tetrahedral species of Mo; it is important to remark that band located in 903 cm\(^{-1} \) is not observed in catalyst supported on \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) and \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 - \text{MgO} \). It seems that lithium incorporation promotes that the band at 964 cm\(^{-1} \) attributed to the octahedral Mo species disappear and the band located at 903 cm\(^{-1} \) assigned to tetrahedral species appear, all this changes are related to the Mo(T)/Mo(O) increased ratio, which is accord to the observed in Kubelka Munk spectra and also is according to the reported before in a previous work in similar catalytic formulations that no contain tungsten. From this analysis is corroborated that the Raman results are in concordance with the observed by XRD.

**Fig. 3.** X-ray diffraction of a) CoMoW/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), b) CoMoW/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-MgO and c) CoMoW/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-MgO-Li\(_2\)O.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JCPDS</th>
<th>Crystalline phases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01-074-2206</td>
<td>( \gamma - \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00-048-0528</td>
<td>( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{MgO} \cdot 3 \text{O}_3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01-082-1804</td>
<td>* Li(_2)Mg(_2)(WO(_4))(_3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diffuse reflectance spectroscopy measurements were performed to gain insight about the electronic transitions and coordination of the Mo, W and Co species when are supported on alumina modified with magnesia and lithia. Figure 5 shows the UV-Vis spectra in the diffuse reflectance mode (DRS), recorded in the range from 200 to 800 nm, for the different CoMoW catalysts. The wide band below 350 nm reveals the presence of two peaks at around 233–250 nm attributed to the tungsten and molybdena tetrahedral bands respectively. The signal around 262–300 nm is attributed to the octahedral species of tungsten and molybdenum (Radwan, Turky, & El-Shobaky, 2002).
In order to perform a deeper analysis, the DRS spectra were deconvoluted using gaussians as fitting functions. Integrated areas of deconvoluted peaks can be used to estimate the tetrahedral/octahedral Mo and W ratios. It is important to remark that this ratio increases with lithium incorporation to the catalytic formulation, suggesting that Li favors a change from octahedral to tetrahedral species in good agreement with the Raman analysis.

![Diagram](image)

Fig. 5. Function of Kubelka munk obtained by diffuse reflectance, for catalysts a) CoMoW/Al2O3, b) CoMoW/Al(OH)2-MgO and c) CoMoW/Al2O3-MgO-Li2O.

Additionally, DRS spectra show a broad band at longer wavelengths, composed for at least three signals peaking at 541, 580 and 638 nm, characteristic signals of supported cobalt with tetrahedral symmetry (CoAl2O4) indicative of the interaction between Co and the support suggesting the formation of a new compound (Mendoza-Nieto, Vera-Vallejo, Escobar-Alarcón, Solís-Casados, & Klimova, 2013). The DRS spectra of each CoMoW catalyst were treated using the Kubelka-Munk method to obtain the absorption edges and to determine the optical band gap energy, considering a direct band gap energy with allowed transitions as was reported by Weber (1995). It was assumed that this value is related with particle aggregation through the near neighbor’s correlations. Figure 6.1) shows a red shift of the absorption edge of the polyoxomolybdates supported on Al2O3, Al2O3-MgO, Al2O3-MgO-Li2O respect to the unsupported MoO3. It is clear that unsupported MoO3 shows the lowest band gap energy, 3.0 eV, due to its high agglomeration; when molybdenum is supported on Al2O3, Al2O3-MgO, Al2O3-MgO-Li2O higher band gap energies are found, 3.5, 3.4 and 3.6 eV, respectively, suggesting that support containing magnesium and lithium favors the molybdenum species dispersion. Figure 6.1I) shows the absorption edges of tungstates supported on Al2O3, Al2O3-MgO, Al2O3-MgO-Li2O respect to the unsupported WO3; WO3 has a band gap energy of 2.7 eV due to its high agglomeration and when is supported on Al2O3, Al2O3-MgO, Al2O3-MgO-Li2O the band gap energy increases from to values 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 eV respectively; again, this is indicative of the good dispersion of W due to the modification of the catalytic support. In the case of cobalt, the effect of agglomeration on the band gap energy is less evident, the CoO4 has a small value of 1.4 eV, whereas the cobalt impregnated on Al2O3 support shifts to 1.5 eV, and for the Co supported on Al2O3-MgO and Al2O3-MgO-Li2O the corresponding values are 1.8 and 1.7 eV as it is seen in figure 6.1II). The CoMoW impregnated on Al2O3-MgO-Li2O have a slight shift in the absorption edge to 3.6 eV figure 6.1IV), which indicates a good dispersion of the catalytic active phases in this support, that could be most related to the impregnating solution containing metallic citrates to enhance metallic dispersion. The absorption edges of these metal oxides show that larger aggregates have the lower energies as in (Weber, 1995). This band gap energy values indicate the good dispersion of the octahedral Mo and W species towards the tetrahedral species, which is in agreement with the dispersion suggested by the XRD and Raman results.
3.2 CATALYTIC TESTS

Once catalytic formulations were characterized, their catalytic activity was evaluated through the HDS reaction of DBT molecule. In figure 7 I) it is observed the kinetic reaction constants determined assuming a pseudo-first order reaction. It is important to emphasize that Mg incorporation increases in 47 % the catalytic activity determined through the kinetic reaction constant; however, Li incorporation decreases the catalytic activity with a similar activity as in the Al₂O₃ supported catalyst. Regarding selectivity, figure 7 II) shows that for the CoMoW/Al₂O₃ catalysts the main reaction product is the biphenyl (BP) which is obtained from the direct desulfurization route, with the reaction time the biphenyl is hydrogenated to cyclohexylbenzene (CHB). This demonstrate that this formulation has a higher hydrogenation function probably due to the presence of W (Halatchev, Atanasova, Agudo, Arias, & Ramirez, 1996; Payen et al., 1994). Typically, the BP is not hydrogenated to CHB if DBT is present in the reaction medium, reaching the saturation when the dibenzothiophene is consumed (close to 100 % conversion) (Halatchev et al., 1996; Payen et al., 1994; Solís-Casados, Klimova, Ramirez, & Cortez 2004). In the present case, upon reaching conversion greater than 50 %, the selectivity to CHB begins to decrease as starts the formation of bicyclohexyl due to the saturating properties of the catalysts. Regard to the catalyst CoMoW supported on Al₂O₃-MgO (figure 7 III)), it is observed that the main product is BP. The selectivity to CHB decreases and the BCH is not detected, probably because BCH rapidly cracks to lighter products. However, at a DBT conversion close to 80% the BP is quickly
hydrogenated to CHB, so the selectivity to the latter clearly increases. Finally, the catalyst containing Mg and Li (figure 7 IV) seems to show lower saturating properties amongst the three formulations tested, since the BP does not saturate to CHB as occur in the previous cases.

4. CONCLUSIONS

CoMoW supported on Al₂O₃, Al₂O₃-MgO, Al₂O₃-MgO-Li₂O with lower number of acid sites and reduced acidic strength were prepared successfully. The CoMoW active phases were well dispersed in the three supports nevertheless of the high deposited metallic charge as XRD, Raman and DRS results reveal. An increase in the catalytic activity was observed in the HDS of DBT with Mg incorporation to the catalytic formulation. The simultaneous incorporation of Mg and Li into the formulation produce the same catalytic activity as the observed for the CoMoW/Al₂O₃ catalyst. However, it is important to emphasize that the formulation containing Mg and Li shows a better selectivity towards direct desulfurization, which is attributed to the decrease in acidity and acidic strength as well as to the good metallic dispersion obtained, due to the presence Mg and Li in support.
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