

www.jart.icat.unam.mx



Journal of Applied Research and Technology 19 (2021) 227-237

Original

# Using robots to improve indoor air quality and reduce COVID-19 exposure

L. Abdallah\* • A. Nasr

Alexandria higher Institute of Engineering and Technology, Alexandria, Egypt

Received 08 22 2020; accepted 01 22 2021 Available 06 30 2021

**Abstract:** Air pollution is the world's largest environmental health risk, accounting yearly for about 8 million deaths. Most of the people spend 80% to 90% of their time indoors. Therefore, Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) has a direct impact on overall health. In this paper, a robot, equipped with sensors for measuring IAQ parameters such as temperature, relative humidity, CO<sub>2</sub>, Particulate Matters and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) is used to measure these parameters and compare the measured values to the pre-set permissible levels of concentrations of such parameters. If one (or more) of the measured values exceed the pre-set threshold values, the measured values are communicated to the user via a mobile application and the robot will take a corrective action by disinfecting the place using a sterilizing solution. Validation of the methodology was verified at an educational institute in Alexandria, Egypt.

*Keywords:* Indoor Air Quality (AIQ), COVID-19, Robots, Sensors and Monitoring, Disinfection and Sterilization

\*Corresponding author. *E-mail address*: Lamiaa\_Abdallah@yahoo.com(L. Abdallah). Peer Review under the responsibility of Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

## 1. Introduction

## 1.1. Indoor air pollution

According to the World Health Organization (2020), air pollution is the world's largest environmental health risk, accounting yearly for about 8 million deaths (4.2 million deaths from outdoor air pollution, and 3.8 deaths from indoor air pollution). According to the same source, about 90% of the world's population breathe polluted or contaminated air.

Most of the people spend 80% to 90% of their time indoors. Therefore, IAQ has a direct impact on overall health (Saini et al., 2020).

According to the United States (US) Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), IAQ is defined as the air quality within and around buildings and structures, especially as it relates to the health and comfort of building occupants. The sources and health effects of some common indoor pollutants such as fine Particulate Matters of diameter <  $2.5 \mu m$  (PM2.5), Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) and other common pollutants are explained in (Tran et al., 2020).

Although  $CO_2$  is produced both naturally and through human activities, it is not classified as an air pollutant. However, it is treated as a pollutant because the amount of oxygen required for breathing becomes insufficient at high concentrations of  $CO_2$  in an indoor space. Temperature and relative humidity also contribute to the sense of thermal comfort (Jo et al., 2020).

## 1.2. COVID-19

As is implied in the name COVID-19, 'CO' stands for 'COrona,' 'VI' for 'VIrus,' and 'D' for Disease, and 19 represents the year of its occurrence. The COVID-19 pandemic is considered as the most global challenge that the humankind faced since the 2<sup>nd</sup> World War (Chakraborty & Maity, 2020).

In a few short months, COVID-19 becomes global pandemic and economic disaster. As of 15 June 2021, more than 177 million people officially tested positive for the virus and about 3.84 million had died as a result (CoronaTracker, 2021).

Figure 1 shows how the rate of confirmed patients, recovered patients, and deaths is increasing exponentially.

Home isolation for both healthy and infected individuals with mild symptoms is implemented in many countries. Isolation with improper ventilation or sterilization could lead to severe health problems. The situation could be even worse if the IAQ was poor to begin with (Abouleish, 2021).

Adequate ventilation, air filtration, humidity regulation and temperature control are key strategies, which can be combined to improve indoor air quality and protect occupants from airborne viruses (Brittain et al., 2020).

## 1.3. Monitoring of IAQ

Monitoring of parameters such as temperature, humidity,  $CO_2$ and other air quality parameters is a major concern to businesses, educational institutions, and health organizations because it can significantly impact the health, comfort, wellbeing and productivity of the individuals (Yousuf et al., 2017).

Pollutants that are most commonly found in indoor air environments include VOC,  $CO_2$  and PM (Mancini et al., 2020).

With the increased concern about IAQ in recent years, IAQ analyzers combining multi-sensors are available in the market and come as separate systems, it is considered costly and not easy-to-use for continuous monitoring of IAQ (Tahsiin et al., 2020).

The emergence of cost-effective sensors and communication protocols provides improved living environments. Traditional approaches based on building automation and control systems consider buildings equipped with many different sensors. However, it is expensive, principally when it has to be implemented in existing buildings. It may require a complex integration process for sensors as well as maintenance and calibration processes (Ribino et al., 2020).

Some common indoor air pollutants and their permissible levels, as stated in WHO and European standards are summarized in Table 1 (Settimo et al., 2020).

Table 1. Indoor air pollutants permissible levels.

Parameter	Permissible
	concentration
Particulate matter (PM2.5)	25 mg/m³ (24 h)
Volatile Organic	600 µg/m³ (8 h)
Compound (VOC)	
Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )	1000 ppm
Temperature (°C)	23 – 26 °C
Relative humidity (%)	40 – 70 %

#### 1.4. Robotics, AIQ and COVID 19

The prime utilization of robots is to minimize person-toperson contact and to ensure cleaning, sterilization and support in indoor facilities. This will result in minimizing the life threat to personnel taking an active role in the management of the COVID-19 pandemic (Khan et al., 2020).

Robots have been developed to take on dull, dirty, and dangerous jobs. Combating infectious diseases involves an environment that is unsuitable for human workers. For disease prevention, robot-controlled noncontact surface disinfection is being used because COVID-19 spreads not only from person to person but also via contaminated surfaces. Instead of manual disinfection, autonomous or remote-controlled disinfection. Robots could lead to cost-effective, fast, and effective disinfection. Robots could navigate high-risk areas and continually work to sterilize all high-touch surfaces (Yang et al., 2020).





## 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Materials

Mobile robot arm is a mechanical manipulator that exhibits similar functions of a human arm. It consists of three revolute joints and one prismatic wheeled joint. Revolute joints allow rotational motion about Z-axis while prismatic joint allows translation motion along X and Y directions. The last linkage at the terminal of the kinematic chain is called end-effector. A gripper is employed as the endeffectors of robot manipulator. This gripper is used for holding sprinkler plastic alcohol nozzle. Basic design of the mobile robot arm was designed in Autodesk Inventor software and further kinematic analysis is done with the help of a numerical computing environment as discussed in (Gaber et al., 2016).

A simple four Degree of Freedom (DoF) mobile robot is considered for the analysis. The different DoFs are shown in Figure 2.

The three revolute joints are termed as  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$  whereas P denotes the prismatic joint. The workspace of the mobile robot arm can be described by the set of points that can be reached by its sprinkler, considering a physically possible mechanical configuration (Patel, 2016). The constraints for each joint are given below in Table 2.

Figure 3 illustrates the fabricated mobile robot arm which includes the following:

- Three servomotors, which are used as actuators for the robot arm.

- One dc geared motor, which is used for controlling the prismatic joint.

- Air quality sensors. A group of sensors is selected suitable for communication with the controller used. These sensors are low-cost compared to an equivalent portable air quality analyzer. These sensors include:

- Temperature and humidity sensor (DHT11), which is applied to measure the dry bulb temperature (with range from 0°C to 50°C) as pulse width modulated (PWM) signal and to detect the relative humidity (with range from 20% to 95%) as PWM signal.

-  $CO_2$  sensor (MG-811), which is applied to measure the carbon dioxide with range from 0 ppm to 10000 ppm as analogue signal.

- VOC sensor (MS1100), which is applied to measure the volatile organic compounds with range from 0 mg/m<sup>3</sup> to 20 mg/m<sup>3</sup> as analogue signal.

-  $PM_{2.5}$  sensor (PM5003), which is applied to measure the particulate matter with range from 0  $\mu g/$  m³ to 999  $\mu g/$  m³ as analogue signal.

- Ultrasonic sensor (HC-SR04), which is applied to stop the robot at a distance of 60 cm from the room walls to start the sterilization process.

- The Arduino Mega card, which receives the signals from the air quality sensors and sends the appropriate signals to the servo motors using a mobile software (Zein et al., 2018). Using inverse kinematic equations described in (Crenganis et al., 2019) to get the joint variables that would put the sprinkler in the desired location.



Figure 2. The joint motion of the three revolute joints and the prismatic joint.



Figure 3. Experimental system setup.

Table 2. Joint motion constraints	5.
-----------------------------------	----

Joint	Туре	Range
$\theta_1$	Revolute	0° to 90°
$\theta_2$	Revolute	0° to 90°
$\theta_3$	Revolute	-30° to 60°
Р	Prismatic	Wheeled
		Prismatic Joint

Remotexy is mobile software for smartphones which applied to use a mobile Graphical User Interface (GUI) for Arduino Mega. The designed mobile robot needs to be capable of communicating with the mobile phone. Different communication systems can be adapted for this communication. The communication over HC06 Bluetooth module is utilized to control the three revolute servo motors and the prismatic dc motor via mobile phone.

Figure 4 shows the GUI of the mobile application applied for the control of the dc motor to make the mobile platform start at a room's home position and for the control of the servo motors to test the manipulator's rotational motion.

## 2.2. Methodology

Figure 5 illustrates the movement algorithm of the designed mobile robot. The movement of the mobile platform is based on the odometry method used to move the mobile robot in the x and y directions along a room while the movement of the translation and rotation of the manipulator is based on the inverse kinematics solution to get  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$ , and  $\theta_3$  (Gaber et al., 2021), which calculates the joint variables that would put the sprinkler in the desired position.

The readings (outputs) of the different sensors are divided into zones as shown in Figures 6, 7. Based on the accepted range for each parameter, the appropriate zone is determined as illustrated in Table 3. The result from the processor is fed to the mobile arm robot, which then presents the message to the user on the mobile application as shown in Figure 8, which specify the action to be taken.

## 3. Results and discussions

Experimental validation is done to test the ability of the robot to measure the air quality parameters and to take appropriate action according to the classified zone. The practical experiment is repeated several times in Alexandria higher institute of Engineering and Technology (AIET) in the presence of the students and staff members. In each experiment, a message is displayed to the user on the application with the appropriate procedure to be performed on the basis of the sensors' readings, which represent a point in a predefined zone, as shown in Figure 8. Figure 9 shows one of the practical experiments of the mobile robot arm while sanitizing one of the study halls. The dimensions of the hall are entered by the user as input and the sensors readings are presented to the user from the mobile application as output. In the shown experiment, the situation belongs to zone B5 as shown in Figure 10.

The robot has been innovated as a solution to prevent the transmission of viruses, especially in the Corona virus pandemic that the world is passing through. Since the robot automatically measures the major air quality parameters inside the room through a group of sensors that measure continuously the parameters (every 10 seconds) to make the appropriate decision that appears on the mobile application. If the action is not satisfactory to the robot logic (some reading(s) still out of range), the robot performs a new set of measurement and gives another message to the user to take the appropriate corrective action and again until all the parameters are in the accepted range.

The cost of the robot is in the range of 750 \$, which enables many businesses working in indoor environments to implement it. Mainly educational institutions, praying houses (mosques and churches), public libraries, museums, theatres and cinemas, administrative buildings (governmental, banks, companies and factories) can easily implement the proposed robot.



Figure 4. Mobile GUI to control the mobile robot arm.



Figure 5. Flow chart of the mobile robot's movement algorithm.

Accepted ranges	Zone	In / Out of range	Message
	Al	T: In	Stable mode (normal condition)
		RH: In	
		CO2: In	
-	A2	T: In	Air conditioner should be started
		RH: Out	
T: from 18°C to 27°C		CO2: In	
	A3	T: Out	Open the windows of the room or op
RH: from 40% to 80%		RH: In	en the air conditioner
		CO2: In	
Co <sub>2</sub> : less than 700 ppm	A4	T: In	Minimize human load and open the
		RH: In	windows of the room
		CO2: Out	
-	A5	T: Out	Initialize the robot to sanitize the
		RH: Out	room
		CO2: Out	
	B1	PM <sub>2.5</sub> : In	Stable mode (normal condition)
		VOC: In	
		CO2: Out	
	B2	PM <sub>2.5</sub> : In	Room should be evacuated and
PM <sub>2.5</sub> : less than 25 µg/		VOC: Out	open the windows
m3		CO2: In	
	B3	PM <sub>2.5</sub> : In	Minimize human load and open the
VOC: less than 0.5 mg/		VOC: In	windows of the room
m3		CO2: Out	
	B4	PM <sub>2.5</sub> : Out	Room should be evacuated and
Co2: less than 700 ppm		VOC: In	open the windows
		CO2: In	
	B5	PM <sub>2.5</sub> : Out	Initialize the robot to sanitize the
		VOC: Out	room
		CO2: Out	

## Table 3. Robot decision according to each zone.



Figure 6. "A" zones for (T, RH & CO<sub>2</sub>).



Figure 7. "B" zones for (PM2.5, VOC & CO<sub>2</sub>).



Figure 8. Message presented to the user.



Figure 9. Experimental validation of the robot arm.



Figure 10. Sensors readings that belong to zone B5.

## 4. Conclusions

The proposed robot work satisfactory (as designed and programmed) to continuously monitor the major indoor air parameters (temperature, relative humidity, CO<sub>2</sub>, PM2.5 and VOC) and compare the measured values with pre-set values and take the required action upon deviation. This action is sending a message to the user through a mobile application informing the user of what should be done to restore the ideal air quality conditions. When the required action is sanitization, the mobile application allows a friendly graphical user interface to help the user to start the sanitization function of the robot, thus eliminating the need of cleaning staff and minimize their exposure to possibly infected surfaces.

Future work includes the autonomous mobility of the robot without the user interference, the addition of a group of ultrasonic (or laser) sensors to avoid obstacles, adding encoder sensors to the dc motors shaft and applying the odometry kinematics theory to calculate the distance that the robot moves in the X and Y directions, use of ultraviolet rays instead of the sanitizing solution and adding a selfdisinfection function to the robot.

## References

Abouleish, M.Y. Z., (2021) Indoor air quality and coronavirus disease (COVID-19). *Public Health*, *191*, 1-2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2020.04.047

Chakraborty, I., & Maity, P. (2020). COVID-19 outbreak: Migration, effects on society, global environment and prevention. *Science of the Total Environment*, 728, 138882. 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138882

Crenganiş, M., Tera, M., Biriş, C., & Gîrjob, C. (2019). Dynamic Analysis of a 7 DOF Robot Using Fuzzy Logic for Inverse Kinematics Problem. *Procedia computer science, 162*, 298-306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2019.11.288

## CoronaTracker (2020). from www.coronatracker.com

Gaber, A. N. A., Eldrainy, Y. A., & Awad, T. H. (2021). Uncertainty solution of robot parameters using fuzzy position control applied for an automotive cracked exhaust system inspection. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, *60*(2), 2355-2367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2020.12.044 Gaber, A. N. A., Rezeka, S. F., & El Gamal, H. A. (2016). Design and Position Control of Arm Manipulator; Experimentally and in MATLAB SimMechanics. International *Journal of Engineering Research and Technology (IJERT)*, *5*(8), 352-359.

Jo, J., Jo, B., Kim, J., Kim, S., & Han, W. (2020). Development of an IoT-based indoor air quality monitoring platform. *Journal* of Sensors, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8749764

Khan, Z. H., Siddique, A., & Lee, C. W. (2020). Robotics utilization for healthcare digitization in global COVID-19 management. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *17*(11), 3819, 1-21. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17113819

Mancini, F., Nardecchia, F., Groppi, D., Ruperto, F., & Romeo, C. (2020). Indoor environmental quality analysis for optimizing energy consumptions varying air ventilation rates. *Sustainability*, *12*(2), 482.

https://doi.org/10.3390/su12020482

Patel, K. (2016). Adaptive Neuro Fuzzy Inference System for Kinematics of 6 DOF Robotic Arm. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, 7(1), 936-943.

Ribino, P., Bonomolo, M., Lodato, C., & Vitale, G. (2020). A humanoid social robotbased approach for indoor environment quality monitoring and well-being improvement. *International Journal of Social Robotics*, 1-20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12369-020-00638-9

Saini, J., Dutta, M. and Marques, G., (2020). A comprehensive review on indoor air quality monitoring systems for enhanced public health, *Sustainable Environment Research, 30* (6), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s42834-020-0047-y

Sloan Brittain, O., Wood, H., & Kumar, P. (2020). Prioritising indoor air quality in building design can mitigate future airborne viral outbreaks. *Cities & Health*, 1-4. https://doi.org/10.1080/23748834.2020.1786652

Settimo, G., Manigrasso, M., & Avino, P. (2020). Indoor air quality: A focus on the European legislation and state-of-theart research in Italy. *Atmosphere*, *11*(4), 370. 1-19. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11040370 Tahsiin, F., Anggraeni, L., Chandra, I Salam, R. A., & Bethaningtyas, H. (2020). Analysis of Indoor Air QualityBased on Low-Cost Sensors. *International Journal on Advanced Science Engineering Information Technology*. *10*(6), 2627-2633. https://doi.org/10.18517/ijaseit.10.6.12989

Tran, V. V., Park, D., & Lee, Y. C. (2020). Indoor air pollution, related human diseases, and recent trends in the control and improvement of indoor air quality. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *17*(8), 2927. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17082927

World Health Organization, (WHO). (2020). World health statistics 2020: *Monitoring health for the Sustainable Development Goals* (SDGs). Geneva, 2020. ISBN 978-92-4-000510-5 (electronic version), © World Health Organization 2020.

Yousuf, A., Lehman, W. and Hayder, M.M., (2017). Environmental monitoring robotic system, American Society for Engineering Education (ASEE), Paper ID #18508, 1-9.

Yang, G. Z., Nelson, B. J., Murphy, R. R., Choset, H., Christensen, H., Collins, S. H., ...& McNutt, M. (2020). Combating COVID-19 The role of robotics in managing public health and infectious diseases. Science Robotics, 5 (40), 1-2. https://doi.org/10.1126/scirobotics.abb5589

Zein, Y., Darwiche, M., & Mokhiamar, O. (2018). GPS tracking system for autonomous vehicles. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, *57*(4), 3127-3137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2017.12.002