

www.jart.icat.unam.mx



Journal of Applied Research and Technology 18 (2020) 390-409

Original

CuO@TiO₂ and NiO@TiO₂ core-shell catalysts for hydrogen production from the photocatalytic reforming of glycerol aqueous solution

S.P. Ramírez^a • J. A. Wang^{a*} • M. A. Valenzuela^a • L. F. Chen^a • A. Dalai^b

^eESIQIE, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Zacatenco, Ciudad de México, Mexico ^bDepartment of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of Saskatchewan, 57 Campus Drive, Saskatoon S7N 5A9, Canada

> Received 02 20 2020; accepted 09 17 2020 Available online 12 31 2020

Abstract: Hydrogen production from the photocatalytic reforming of glycerol aqueous solution was performed on the CuO@TiO₂, NiO@TiO₂, NiO@CuO, and CuO@NiO core-shell nanostructured catalysts under simulated solar light irradiation. These catalysts were prepared by the combination of a modified sol-gel and a precipitation-deposition method using hydroxypropyl cellulose as structural linker and they were characterized by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (UV–Vis DRS), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and nitrogen physisorption isotherms techniques. The catalysts containing TiO₂ as a shell and CuO as core showed much higher activity compared with those formulated with NiO@CuO, CuO@NiO, and bared CuO or NiO nanoparticles. The highest rate of hydrogen production obtained with the CuO@TiO₂ catalyst was as high as 153.8 µmol·g⁻¹h⁻¹, which was 29.0, 24.8, 11.2 and 3.2 times greater than that obtained on CuO@NiO, NiO@CuO, TiO₂ P25, and NiO@TiO₂ catalyst, respectively. For the high active CuO@TiO₂ catalyst, after activation of TiO₂ with solar light irradiation, the conduction band electrons can be transferred to CuO core through the heterojunction in the core-shell interfaces which led to CuO gradually reduced to Cu₂O, favoring the reduction of proton to release hydrogen.

Keywords: Sol-gel process, core-shell structure, photocatalysis, hydrogen production, glycerol reforming

*Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* jwang@ipn.mx(J. A. Wang). Peer Review under the responsibility of Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

1. Introduction

Hydrogen is a type of clean fuel as its combustion in a fuel cell generates only water emission. A variety of feedstock can be used for hydrogen production, including fossil resources such as petroleum, natural gas, and coal; and renewable resources such as biomass and water. Several processes and technologies for hydrogen production are well known, these include water electrolysis (Grigoriev, Porembsky, & Fateev 2006; Stolten, 2010; Zhang, Li, Wang, Wang, & Wang, 2013), coal gasification (Mostafavi, Mahinpey, Rahman, Sedghkerdar, & Gupta, 2016; Rezaiyan & Cheremisinoff, 2005), steam reforming of natural gas (Guevara et al., 2010; Kyriakou et al., 2016), etc. Recent investigations focus on finding efficient and economic technical routes for hydrogen production. Photocatalytic production of hydrogen has become a topic of international interest because of its low cost potential and environmentally friendly nature. Photocatalytic hydrogen production can be performed under mild conditions and biomass such as alcohols, glycerol, glucose and sugars can be utilized for sustainable production of hydrogen. Recent years, a large amount of glycerol were produced as a byproduct in biodiesel industry and they highly demand for new processes toward applications. Hydrogen production using glycerol as feedstock via photocatalytic route is a promising research topic with practical application in fuel cell technology.

Several investigators have reported different attempts to improve the photocatalytic efficiency of the semiconductors and retard the electron-hole recombination *via* noble metals doping (Wei, Liu, & Li 2013; Wu et al., 2008), metal ion doping (Cai, Lu, He, & Lan, 2012; Dholam, Patel, Adami, & Miotello, 2009), and anion doping (Asahi, Morikawa, Ohwaki, Aoki, & Taga, 2001; Tian, Ma, Li, & Li, 2009) on semiconductors. The excited electrons can be transferred in coupled semiconductors from the high conduction band to the low one, leading to an efficient separation of photogenerated electron-hole pairs (Zheng, Wei, Wei, Xie, & Wei 2010). Consequently, the coupling of semiconductors and metals or oxides is an effective approach to prepare photocatalysts with high activity and stability.

In the photocatalytic reactions, the synthesis methods of the photocatalyst take the key role. Core-shell nanoparticles have been demonstrated to possess improved physiochemical properties for a wide applications in electronics, magnetism, optics and catalysis, and so forth. Numerous studies have demonstrated that the nanoparticles with unique core-shell structure can improve their catalytic activity and selectivity. It is reported that the coupling of CdS and TiO₂ nanorods has a beneficial role in improving charge separation and in the reduction of electron-hole recombination rate, due to the quick transferring of the excited electrons from CdS nanoparticles to TiO₂ nanorods

(Jia, Xu, Hu, Tang, & Zhang 2007); and the extension of absorption spectrum into the visible region by $TiO_2@CdS$ core-shell nanorods may benefit the photoreactions under solar light irradiation condition.

TiO₂ has been extensively studied to support the future hydrogen economy due to its high catalytic activity, long-term photostability, strong oxidizing power, abundant availability, and environmentally friendly nature (Kokporka, Onsuratoom, Puangpetch, & Chavadej, 2013; Zhang, Xu, Li, & Wang, 2012). However, it can be activated only under UV light irradiation (i.e. approximately 380 nm) due to its relatively big band gap energy (titania anatase 3.2 eV and rutile 3.0 eV). To extend its light absorption into the visible light spectrum and prevent the high recombination rate of photogenerated electron-hole pairs, synthesis of core-shell nanostructure of TiO₂ is regarded as an excellent option. Several semiconductors have been used as sensitizers in core-shell structures because they are capable of transferring electrons between dopers and TiO₂; for instance, Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ (He, Zhang, Xiong, Xiong, & Xiao, 2008), Cu₂O@TiO₂ (Su et al., 2009), WO₃@TiO₂ (Smith & Zhao, 2009), CdS@TiO₂ (Meng et al., 2012), Fe₃O₄@ZnO@TiO₂ (Wang, Liu, Wang, Kong, & Qiu, 2012), ZnS@TiO₂ (Vaidya, Patra, & Ganguli, 2010), and so on, showing good activity for different applications.

Among the low-cost transition metal oxide catalysts, copper and nickel oxides are two of the most efficient oxidation catalysts to substitute precious metals. The oxidation states of copper may vary between Cu²⁺ in CuO, Cu⁺¹ in Cu₂O and Cu⁰; and nickel oxidation states vary among Ni₂O₃, NiO and Ni, depending of reaction condition. The oxygen defects in the crystalline structure and adsorbed oxygen species in these oxides are thought to be the reasons for their high activity and selectivity (Radwan, El-Shall, & Hassan, 2007). CuO alone is inactive for H₂ production under UV or visible light irradiation since the conduction band of CuO is more positive than that of the H_2O/H_2 redox potential. It is widely recognized that p-type CuO in CuO/TiO₂ catalyst facilitates charge separation and acts as a water reduction site (Chen, Jovic, Sun-Waterhouse, Idriss, & Waterhouse, 2013) and it has also been regarded as a good active component for the reduction of water under sacrificial condition (Wu et al., 2015), because CuO may inhibit the recombination between photogenerated electrons and holes, and thus decrease the band gap energy of TiO₂. On the other hand, it is reported that p-type (NiO_x) -n-type (TiO_2) heterojunction can be formed in the NiO_x doped TiO₂. Such NiO_xdoped TiO₂ catalysts were recently reported to show good catalytic performance in the photocatalytic degradation of organic compounds (Liu et al., 2014; Riaza et al., 2012).

In the present work, some new results of $CuO@TiO_2$ and $NiO@TiO_2$ core-shell catalysts for photocatalytic hydrogen production are reported where CuO or NiO were prepared as core and TiO_2 as shell. Their photocatalytic performance in

the H_2 production was evaluated using glycerol aqueous solution as a reactant feedstock. For comparison purpose, other two types of NiO@CuO and CuO@NiO core-shell catalysts as well NiO and CuO oxides were also synthesized and evaluated. The photocatalytic activity of all the solid samples for hydrogen evolution under simulated solar light irradiation was then compared under the same operation condition.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Copper nitrate (98.5%, Cu(NO₃)₂·5H₂O), nickel nitrate (98.2%, Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O), sodium hydroxide (98%, NaOH), titanium (IV) butoxide (98%, TBOT) and hydroxypropil cellulose (Mw~80000) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Absolute ethanol (99.9%) was obtained from J.T Baker. Deionized water was produced using millipore purification system installed in our laboratory. All reagents were used as received without further purification.

2.2. Preparation of the photocatalysts2.2.1. Synthesis of CuO and NiO nanoparticles

CuO solid was prepared using copper nitrate as Cu precursor in ethanol in a 250 ml beaker using a sol-gel method. An appropriate amount of copper nitrate was dissolved in ethanol with a molar ratio of copper nitrate to ethanol 1:10. Then 1 M NaOH solution was added to the solution with constant stirring to control pH at 11 approximately. The temperature of the precipitated mixture was remained at 80 °C and maintained for 6 h under stirring at 600 rpm. The precipitates were then washed three times with deionized water to remove the impurities and the filtrate was dried in an oven in air at 80 °C for 12 h. Finally, the dried solid was grounded and was calcined a muffle furnace at 350 °C at a temperature increasing rate of 1 °C/min to obtain CuO metal oxide nanoparticles. NiO nanoparticles were prepared with a similar way but using nickel nitrate as Ni precursor.

2.2.2. Synthesis of TiO₂ coating on CuO and NiO

CuO nanoparticles (0.125 g) were dispersed in 5 mL absolute ethanol and this suspension was added into a solution consisting of 0.1 g hydroxypropyl cellulose, 20 mL absolute ethanol and 0.125 mL deionized water. This resultant mixture was stirred for 30 min at 600 rpm, and then 1 mL titanium (IV) butoxide (TBOT) in 5 mL absolute ethanol was injected, using a syringe pump, into the above mixture at a rate of 0.5 mL·min⁻¹. This mixture was stirring at 900 rpm and a milk-like gel was obtained. After injection, the temperature of the gel was increased to 85 °C under reflux and agitation condition for 90 min. The precipitate containing CuO@TiO₂ solid was centrifuged, washed three times with ethanol and was dispersed in 5 mL absolute ethanol. The coating procedure was repeated three more times in order to increase thickness of TiO_2 layer. Finally, the samples were dried and calcined at 400 °C (1 °C/min) for 3 h in air atmosphere. The same procedure was followed for the preparation of NiO@TiO_2 coreshell nanoparticles but using NiO as core.

2.2.3. Synthesis of NiO@CuO and CuO@NiO core-shell solids

In order to prepare NiO@CuO core-shell solid, 0.125 g of NiO nanoparticles were dispersed in 5 mL absolute ethanol following by adding it into a mixture of hydroxypropyl cellulose (0.1 g), absolute ethanol (20 mL) and deionized water (0.125 mL). After stirring (30 min at 600 rpm) a solution of 0.3 g Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O in 5 mL absolute ethanol was injected into the above solution at a rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹. This mixture was stirring at 900 rpm. After injection, the temperature of the resulted gel was increased to 85 °C under reflux and agitation condition for 90 min. The NiO@CuO precipitate was collected by centrifugation, rinsed three times with ethanol and redispersed in 5 mL absolute ethanol. The coating procedure was repeated three more times. The resulting powders were dried at 80 °C and then calcined in air at 350 °C for 2 h. Same procedure was followed for CuO@NiO nanostructure preparation but using 0.3g of Ni(NO₃)₂·3H₂O as precursor of NiO shell and 0.125 g CuO solid as core.

2.3. Characterization techniques

X-ray diffraction measurements were carried out on a Rigaku MiniFlex 600 X-ray diffractometer, using CuK_{a1} radiation (λ =1.5406 Å). The accelerating voltage and the applied current were 40 kV and 15 mA, respectively. The 2 *two-theta* angular regions from 10° to 100° were explored with a scanning speed of 0.1°/min. The crystallite size of the samples was calculated using the Scherrer's formula.

Diffuse reflectance UV-visible spectroscopic (DRS-UV-vis) analyses were performed on a UV-visible spectrophotometer GBC Cintra 20 and the UV-visible spectra were recorded over the range from 200 to 800 nm. The reflection data were converted to absorbance through the standard Kubelka-Munk method.

Scanning electron microscopy (TEM) images were acquired on a FEI Quanta 3D equipped with X-ray energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS). SEM was operated at 10 kV while EDS analysis in the selected area was performed at 30 kV.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observations of the nanoparticles were done using JSM 7800F JEOL, equipped with energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS). TEM was operated at 15 kV. For TEM images the sample powders were dispersed in isopropyl alcohol by using ultrasonic radiation for 10 min and a drop of the suspension was placed onto the coppercoated grids. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was used to examine the surface properties about chemical valence and oxidation state of the metal elements after the catalytic test. XPS can detect signals within the upper 5-10 nm thickness on the surface. The XPS measurements were carried out with a K-Alpha Thermo Scientific spectrometer equipped with a hemispherical electron analyzer and a monochromatic Al K_{α} X-ray source.

2.4. Hydrogen evolution via photocatalytic method

The photocatalytic activity for hydrogen evolution was studied in a closed-gas circulation system connected to a gas chromatograph analyzer (autosystem XL Perkin Elmer) that was equipped with a Carbonxen 1006 PLOT capillary column and a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The photocatalyst (15 mg) was added in a 20 mL transparent glass vial containing 1.5 ml glycerol and 13.5 ml deionized water. This glass vial was connected to a closed gas circulation system. The suspension was then exposed to an Hg-Xe Newport 66901 (solar simulator) 200 W irradiation lamp at a distance of 17 cm. Before each experiment the suspension was dispersed in an ultrasonic bath for 15 min then the photocatalytic cell was purged with nitrogen for 15 min to eliminate oxygen and other gases. The reaction was performed at ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure (0.8 atm at Mexico City) during 8 h with vigorous agitation (500 rpm) to ensure the uniform irradiation of the suspension. The photocatalytic cell was equipped with gas inlet/outlet lines and sealed with a silicone rubber septum to collect and transfer gaseous products to the analytical system for analysis. The gaseous products were analyzed using an on line gas chromatograph analyzer equipped with thermal conductivity detector. The photocatalytic activity of different catalysts for H₂ production using glycerol-water mixtures (90 vol.% glycerol and 10 vol.% H₂O) as feedstock was evaluated under simulated solar light at ambient temperature. The evolution rates of hydrogen (r_{H2}) and CO_2 (r_{CO2}) were expressed as μ mol \cdot g_{cat}⁻¹ \cdot h⁻¹.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Crystalline structure

X-ray diffraction patterns of CuO and CuO@TiO₂ are shown in Fig. 1A and the X-ray diffraction patterns of NiO and NiO@TiO₂ are shown in Fig. 1B, respectively. The XRD peaks of copper oxide sample ($2\theta = 32.5^{\circ}, 35.4^{\circ}, 38.7^{\circ}, 48.7^{\circ}, 53.5^{\circ}, 58.3^{\circ}, 61.5^{\circ},$ 66.2°, 68.1° and 72.4°) corresponded to the monoclinic CuO phase (JCPDS No. 48-1548), demonstrating the formation of well-crystallized monoclinic CuO nanoparticles.

In Fig 2B, pure NiO sample shows peaks at $2\theta = 37.2^{\circ}$, 43.3° , 62.9° , 75.4° and 79.4° which can be well indexed to the cubic structure of nickel oxide (JCPDS No. 47-1049). Besides the characteristic peaks of CuO and NiO, no impurity peaks were detected in these two samples. The average crystallite sizes

estimated from the FWHM of NiO (2 0 0) and CuO (0 0 2) reflections using the Scherrer equation are 17.1 nm for CuO and 11.9 nm for NiO, respectively.

X-ray diffraction patterns of the CuO@TiO₂ and NiO@TiO₂ core-shell samples corresponded to predominated anatase phase; however, a small amount of CuO peak at 35.4° (0 0 2) and NiO peak at 43.3° (2 0 0) were also detectable. In both XRD patterns, the peaks at $2\theta = 25.3^{\circ}$, 36.6°, 37.8°, 38.6°, 48.0°, 53.9°, 55.1°, 62.7°, 68.8°, 70.3°, 74.1°, 82.2° and 94.2°, are indexed as anatase TiO₂ (21-1272 JCPDS card). The anatase TiO₂ crystallite size calculated from the most intense diffraction peak (1 0 1) at 25.3° is 41.2 nm for NiO@TiO₂ and 44.8 nm for CuO@TiO₂, Table 1.

The phase composition of CuO@TiO₂ core-sell catalyst was obtained by simply calculating the weight difference after and before TiO₂ deposition. In our samples, the content of metal oxide NiO and CuO was controlled to approximately 15wt% by initial weigh calculation. In the CuO@TiO₂ core-sell catalyst, only very weak characteristic peak of NiO and CuO in both the NiO@TiO₂ and CuO@TiO₂ samples were observed, indicating the formation of TiO₂ thick shell or coating on NiO and CuO oxides (Lee, Joo, Yin, & Zaera, 2011).

3.2. UV–Vis DRS analysis

The optical properties of CuO@TiO₂ and NiO@TiO₂ photocatalysts were investigated by the UV-Vis DRS technique and the plots of Kubelka-Munk function $(\alpha hv)^{1/2}$ versus energy (hv) were shown in Fig.2A and Fig.2B. From Fig. 2A, the UV-vis-DRS spectra of both CuO@TiO₂ and NiO@TiO₂ samples are similar to the bare TiO₂, showing strong absorption band at 350 nm approximately. In the visible light absorption region, one weak band appeared between 700 and 800 nm, indication that CuO@TiO2 and NiO@TiO2 have a certain ability of absorbing visible light. As seen in Fig. 3B, the band gap energy was estimated to be 3.25 eV for TiO₂, 3.19 eV for NiO@TiO₂, and 3.11 eV for CuO@TiO₂. It is reported that, the addition of Ag, Cu, Pt to TiO₂ results in changes in the band gap transition to larger values in wavelength (Grabowska et al., 2013; Kowalska, Remita, Colbeau-Justin, Hupka, & Belloni, 2008). Our results obtained from Fig. 2 demonstrate that encapsulation of TiO₂ anatase layer over CuO and NiO phases results in a reduction in the band gap energy of TiO₂ in the CuO@TiO₂ and NiO@TiO₂ core-shell structures with respect to bare TiO_2 (E_g=3.25 eV) (Luna et al., 2016).

3.3. Morphological features – SEM and TEM observation

The morphology of pure NiO and CuO oxides was observed by SEM and was shown in Figure 3. SEM images for pure NiO showed many agglomerates of fine nanoparticles forming a dandelion-like structure. A close SEM observation reveals that CuO have nanorod shape with homogeneous morphology and uniform size. The length of the CuO nanorods is approximately 0.5~ $1.0 \ \mu$ m.



Figure 1. X-ray diffraction patterns of core-shell catalysts calcined at 400 °C. A): pure monoclinic CuO and CuO@TiO₂; B) pure cubic NiO and NiO@TiO₂. * represents TiO₂ anatase phase, ● CuO monoclinic phase, and ◆ NiO cubic phase.



Figure 2. A) UV-vis spectra measured for NiO@TiO₂, CuO@TiO₂ and TiO₂; B) Plot of (adsorbance \cdot energy)^{1/2} versus energy.



Fig. 3. SEM images of pure NiO and CuO oxides. A) NiO and B) CuO.

Fig. 4 shows the TEM micrographs of the NiO@TiO₂ and CuO@TiO₂ photocatalysts. Some larger irregular particles with spherical morphology can be observed in both materials. The diameter of spherical agglomerates calculated from TEM images is about 400~500 nm for both NiO@TiO2 and CuO@TiO₂. These agglomerates consist of many small particles with diameter 20-50 nm. The EDS spectra of NiO@TiO2 and CuO@TiO₂ samples are shown in Figs.4C and 4D. EDS profile confirmed that Ti element is predominantly distributed in the outer shell of both CuO@TiO2 and NiO@TiO2 samples. TiO2 rough surface is composed of small spherical anatase TiO₂ crystals as evidenced by XRD analysis. In both samples, Cu and Ni elements are detectable with very low concentration, indicating that CuO and NiO cores were encapsulated by TiO₂ shell. Based on the XRD patterns, EDS analysis, and TEM observation, a schematic representation of CuO@TiO2 and NiO@TiO₂ nanostructures is shown in Fig.5.

3.4. Textural property – N₂ physisorption

The textural characteristics of NiO@TiO₂ and CuO@TiO₂ coreshell nanoparticles were analyzed by nitrogen sorption isotherm technique. Fig. 6 shows the loops of nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of the samples. The hysteresis loops belong to type IV isotherm according to the IUPAC classification (Sing et al., 1985). Table 1 shows the pore size distribution, average pore volume, and surface area for NiO, CuO, NiO@TiO₂, and CuO@TiO₂. The BET surface area of NiO@TiO₂ and CuO@TiO₂ samples is 73 m²/g and 52 m²/g, respectively. The average pore size is 50.2 nm for NiO@TiO₂ and 48.2 nm for CuO@TiO₂. For both samples, BET surface areas are bigger than 50 m²/g, a common surface area for TiO₂. For comparison purpose, the textural data of NiO and CuO are also reported. The pure NiO and CuO solids have crystallite size smaller than 18 nm, which are smaller than that of TiO₂ in NiO@TiO₂ and CuO@TiO₂solids, but their surface areas are not significantly different from binary oxides.

3.5 Surface chemical analysis – XPS

Figure 7 shows the general survey scan XPS spectra of the NiO@TiO₂ and for CuO@TiO₂ samples. Carbon emission peak observed in the spectra is related to the surface adsorbed carbon dioxide species or trace carbon containing species on the surface of the sample resulting from the sol-gel synthesis procedure (Liu et al., 2014). The core levels of Ti 2p, O1s and Ni 2p signals of the XPS spectra for NiO@TiO₂ and Ti 2p, O 1s and Cu 2p for CuO@TiO₂ were recorded. The values of binding energy (BE) of Ti 2p spectrum (Fig. 8A) were 458.8 eV (2p_{3/2}) and 464.5 eV (2p_{1/2}) in both NiO@TiO₂ and CuO@TiO₂. These peaks have been assigned to Ti⁴⁺ in the crystalline structure of anatase TiO₂ (Liu, Yoshida, Fujita, & Arai, 2014).

For NiO@TiO₂ catalyst, the XPS spectrum, Fig. 8B, shows one principal peak O_{1s} with binding energy 530.10 eV that corresponds to lattice O^{2-} in TiO₂ or /and O^{2-} ion NiO; while for CuO@TiO₂ the O_{1s} peak at 530.09 eV is attributable to lattice O^{2-} in TiO₂ or /and O^{2-} ion in CuO with BE values of 528.60~530.70 eV. One small peak at approximately 532.2 eV may indicate some oxygen in hydroxyls species in the surface of the samples.



Figure 5. Scheme of preparation process of core-shell catalysts. a) CuO@TiO_2; b) NiO@TiO_2.



Figure 6. Loops of nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of CuO@TiO2 and NiO@TiO2 catalysts.

T 1 0 10 10 1			1	11.1.1
Labla L Spacific curta	co aroa avorado r	$\lambda \alpha r \alpha c r \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha r \alpha v r \alpha$	aluma and a	
TADIE L. SDECHIC SULIA		ערב אבב. נוטוב ע	Jiune and C	
		· · · · · · _ · , p · · · · ·		J

Catalysts	Surface area (m²/g)	Average pore size (nm)	Pore volume (cm³/g)	Crystallite size* (nm)
NiO	67	62.1	0.05	11.9
CuO	55	54.8	0.08	17.1
NiO@TiO2	73	50.2	0.09	41.2
CuO@TiO ₂	52	48.2	0.06	44.8

*Crystallite size were calculated from XRD pattern according to the Scherrer equation.

In Fig. 8C, the Cu 2p core level of XPS spectrum shows the characteristic peaks at 952.7 eV (Cu $2p_{1/2}$) and 932.7 eV (Cu $2p_{3/2}$) of Cu²⁺ ion and a typical shake up satellite peak occurring at 943.1 eV (Cu $2p_{3/2}$) characteristics of CuO (Rao, Meher, Mishra, & Charan, 2012; Zeng et al., 2014; Ghijsen et al., 1998). The Cu $2p_{1/2}$ peak was fitted by two peaks at 951.9 eV and 953.1 eV, corresponding to Cu²⁺ in CuO and Cu⁺ in Cu₂O, respectively. Similarly, the broad Cu2p_{3/2} peak was deconvoluted into one peak with BE of 933.3 eV and another with BE of 932.1 eV that corresponds to Cu²⁺ in CuO and Cu⁺ in Cu₂O, respectively. Because XPS analysis mainly indicates the surface elements distribution, therefore, this result suggests that a certain amount of Cu²⁺ and Cu⁺ ions coexisted in the shell layer of TiO₂.

Fig 8D shows Ni 2p core levels of XPS spectrum which can be deconvoluted into NiO oxide and Ni hydroxide species

(Biesinger, Payne, Lau, Gerson, & Smart, 2009). A main peak at 855.4 eV (Ni 2p 3/2), and its satellite peak at 861.7 eV (2p 3/2 sat) corresponding to Ni²⁺ion are observed (Rao et al., 2012). In this sample, nickel hydroxide phase was formed in the surface.

On the basis of XRD and XPS analysis of CuO@TiO₂ and NiO@TiO₂ catalysts. We may confirm that these two solids are composed of TiO₂ shell and an oxide core. In the TiO₂ shell layer, there exist some Cu²⁺ and Cu⁺ ions in the CuO@TiO₂ catalyst and Ni²⁺ ions in NiO and Ni(OH)₂ phase. These results also indicate that Cu²⁺ ions are partially reduced and some Ni²⁺ are hydrated to form the nickel hydroxide. However, they are all in a very small amount with size in nanoscale as they are not detectable by XRD analysis. The surface element compositions obtained from XPS analysis of NiO@TiO₂ and CuO@TiO₂ catalysts are reported in Table 2.



Figure 7. The survey scan XPS spectra of NiO@TiO2 and CuO@TiO2 catalysts.

3.6. Photocatalytic activity

As description in equation 1, in the photoreforming reaction of glycerol in water solution, H_2 and CO_2 are the two products with stoichiometric molar ratio 7:3.

$$C_3H_8O_3 + 3H_2O \xrightarrow{hv} 7H_2 + 3CO_2 \tag{1}$$

The H₂ and CO₂ evolution rates achieved on different catalysts are presented in Fig. 9. TiO₂ Degussa P25 was also tested as a reference for comparison. When CuO@TiO₂ was used as catalyst, the H₂ evolution rate (r_{H2}) was as high as 153.8 μ molg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹. For NiO@TiO₂ catalyst, the H₂ evolution rate was 48.1 μ mol g_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹. For the other catalysts, such as TiO₂ P25, NiO@CuO, and CuO@NiO, their H₂ evolution rate was 13.8, 6.2

and 5.3 µmol g_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹, respectively. Both CuO@NiO and NiO@CuO catalysts displayed very low photocatalytic activity for H₂ production, showing that CuO and NiO were not the active phase. Therefore, nanoparticles consisting of TiO₂ shell incorporation with CuO or NiO core dramatically enhanced the rate of H₂ production where TiO₂ serves as active phase in the control reaction condition. In comparison with results reported by Zhou and Li (2017), the H₂ evolution rate obtained over CuO@TiO₂ core-shell catalyst in the present work (153.8 µmol·g⁻¹h⁻¹) is greater than the value 131.32 µmol·g⁻¹h⁻¹ obtained from MoS₂@TiO₂ core-shell catalyst under similar condition. Moreover, the CuO@TiO₂ core-shell catalyst is cheaper than MoS₂@TiO₂, and therefore our catalysts are more attractive.



Binding energy (eV)



Figure 8. The core levels of XPS spectra of NiO@TiO_ and CuO@TiO_ catalysts. A) Ti 2p; B) O 1s; C) Cu 2p; and D) Ni 2p.

Catalysts	Surface atomic weight percentage (wt%)				
	Ti	0	С	Ni	Cu
NiO@TiO ₂	45.2	33.2	14.8	6.8	
CuO@TiO ₂	47.4	34.7	16.4		1.4

Table 2. Surface element composition of NiO@TiO₂ and CuO@TiO₂ catalysts.



Figure 9. Evolution rate of H₂ and CO₂ with different photocatalysts using glycerol-water mixture under visible light irradiation at ambient temperature.

The rate of CO₂ evolution was 20.1 μ mol g_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹ for the CuO@TiO₂ catalyst, which corresponded to a molar ratio of H₂/CO₂ 7.65. This value is approximately 3 times greater than the stoichiometric value 2.33 of H₂/CO₂. For the other catalysts, the molar ratio of H₂/CO₂ is 4.18 for NiO@TiO₂, 3.53 for CuO@NiO, 3.29 for TiO₂ P25, and 2.82 for NiO@CuO, respectively. The fact of all the actual H₂/CO₂ values greater than the stoichiometric value indicates that some other intermediates were produced during alcohol photoreforming reactions. Indeed, methane was detected in the reaction effluents. The photocatalytic oxidation of glycerol aqueous solution may take place, leading to secondary alcohol photoreforming to methane via

 β -hydride elimination (Bahruji et al., 2016; Martínez et al., 2019). Moreover, coke like materials may be formed on the catalysts that resulted in CO₂ formation lower than its stoichiometric value. In addition, the most active CuO@TiO₂ catalyst may also simultaneously catalyze the water spilling reaction to generate hydrogen, beside the glycerol reforming reactions. In the present experiment, the selectivity of H₂ production is greater than 90% for both CuO@TiO₂ and NiO@TiO₂ catalysts.

In order to study the effect of photocatalyst concentration in the reaction mixture on the catalytic activity, the hydrogen production rates were measured by varying the catalyst mass,

shown in Fig. 10. As the photocatalyst concentration increased from 0.5 to 1 g/L (one gram of catalyst per liter of reaction mixture), the photocatalytic hydrogen production rate was increased by almost 3 times; however, when the catalyst concentration in the suspension further increased from 1 to 2 g/L, hydrogen production rate was increased by only 15~18%. This is probably related to the effects of light absorption and scattering. When the photocatalyst concentration was relatively high, the solar light was not able to completely penetrate the suspended mixture due to scattering influence by the suspended solid particles. Therefore, the photocatalytic H₂ production rate was not proportional to catalyst mass. Too big amount of the catalyst mass may not benefit the increment of photocatalytic H₂ production. In the present experiment, we did not further test the effect of catalyst mass greater than 3g/L.

The photocatalytic stability of the two better CuO@TiO₂ and NiO@TiO₂ catalysts was measured in five catalytic runs and each recycling took for 6 h of reaction. The H₂ production rate results are plotted Fig. 11. In both catalysts, the r_{H2} value gradually decreased with the increase of the number of reaction cycle. For each cycle, the r_{H2} value increased in the first two hours of reaction, and then it gradually reduced. For the best catalyst CuO@TiO₂, after total 30 h of reaction of 5 runs, the r_{H2} value diminished to approximately 25 μ mol g⁻¹.

When the hydrogen production rates obtained in initial 2 h of reaction in each cycle were compared, Fig. 12, it was found that the r_{H2} value in each cycle was increasing to maximum at around 2h of reaction. This seems to indicate that there exists an induction period of reaction that may be related to adsorption of glycerol on the active sites of the catalysts; and the r_{H2} is obviously affected by the amount of adsorbed glycerol. Compared to the data obtained in the first run, this maximum r_{H2} value in other cycles gradually decreased. These data reveal that the catalytic activity of the catalysts show a certain degree of deactivation in the following cycles. Some of active sites in the catalyst surface were probably covered by intermediate species of glycerol such as coke like materials, which were not removed in time during the reaction, leading to the reaction rate diminishing. The deactivation behavior will be deeply explored in the future.

For photoreaction, it is widely recognized that some electron (e⁻) – hole (h⁺) pairs can be created in the surface of the activated TiO_2^* induced by UV-vis light irradiation (Eq. 2). In our core-shell catalysts, taking CuO@TiO₂ as example, there exists a certain amount of Cu¹⁺ ions in the surface of catalyst shell, probably resulted from the electron transfer from TiO₂ or

hydroxyls to Cu²⁺ in the shell structure. Therefore, it is possible to generate Cu²⁺/Cu¹⁺ couples *via* Cu²⁺ capturing an electron to convert to Cu⁺ (Eq. 3) or *via* a hole (h⁺) trapping Cu⁺ and converting to Cu²⁺ (Eq. 4). When oxygen is present in the surface of catalyst, oxygen can be reduced to superoxide radical anion (O₂⁻⁻) or hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) by capturing photo-generated electrons on the surface of TiO₂ (Eqs.5 and 6). These new formed intermediates can react to form hydroxyl radical (OH•) (Eq. 7).

$TiO_2 + hv \rightarrow TiO_2^* (e^- + h^+)$	(2)
$Cu^{2+} + e^- \rightarrow Cu^{1+}$	(3)
$Cu^+ + h^+ \rightarrow Cu^{2+}(h)$	(4)
$(e^{-}) + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^{-}$	(5)
$O_2 + 2e^- + 2H^+ \rightarrow H_2O_2$	(6)
$H_2O_2 + O_2 \xrightarrow{\bullet} OH^{\bullet} + OH^{-} + O_2$	(7)

From the semiconductor heterojunction point of view, a heterojunction structure may be formed in the interface of TiO₂ shell and CuO core of the CuO@TiO₂ catalyst (Wang et al., 2014). When the catalyst was initiated by photons with energy higher than or equal to the band gaps, the photo-initiated electron-hole pairs can be quickly separated: the electrons in the conduct band (CB) of TiO₂ in shell may automatically flow to the CB band of CuO core under the effect of the electronic field in the heterojunction irradiated by solar light because the conduction band of CuO (0.96 eV) lies lower than that of TiO₂ (-0.45 eV) (Lei et al., 2016; Xu & Sun, 2009); meanwhile, the positively charged holes in the valance band (VB) of CuO may move to the VB of TiO₂. Therefore, the recombination of the photogenerated electrons and holes are partially inhibited. The accumulation of excess electrons in the conduction band of CuO results in a negative shift in the Fermi level of CuO to give the required energy for efficient reduction of protons (H^+) or water, benefiting H₂ generation. On the surface of TiO₂ shell, the positively charged holes may participate in the formation of CO₂ from decomposition and reforming of adsorbed glycerol molecule. Under simulated solar light irradiation, TiO₂ carrying on electrons and holes collaborating with Cu²⁺/Cu⁺ red-ox couples builds a bridge for charge transfer, and prolonged the lifetime of holes and electrons by effectively inhibition their recombination rate. All those favor the photo efficiency enhancement of hydrogen production rate. The proposed photo-enhanced chemical reaction cycles on the surface of the hybrid core-shell structure is shown in Fig. 13.



Figure 10. Variation of hydrogen generation amount obtained at 2 h of reaction with catalyst mass concentration. (A) CuO@TiO₂; (B) NiO@TiO₂.



Figure 11. H₂ generation amount obtained in various reaction cycles with different catalysts. Each cycle lasted 6 h of reaction. A) CuO@TiO₂; B) NiO@TiO₂.



Figure 12. Comparison of H_2 production amount obtained in the first 2h of reaction in various reaction cycles. (A) CuO@TiO₂; (B) NiO@TiO₂.



Figure 13. A proposed photocatalytic hydrogen evolution process on CuO@TiO₂ core-shell catalyst.

4. Conclusions

It has been possible to synthesize CuO@TiO₂ and NiO@TiO₂ core-shell catalysts through the combination of a modified solgel and a precipitation-deposition method where hydroxypropyl cellulose was used as metal ion linker. The obtained photocatalysts had uniform spherical particles with an average crystallite size 40~50 nm. The CuO@TiO₂ core-shell catalyst was very active for hydrogen evolution by catalyzing the glycerol-water reforming reaction under solar light irradiation. Hydrogen evolution rate was as high as 153.8 μ mol·g⁻¹h⁻¹ using CuO@TiO₂ core-shell catalyst, which is 29.0, 24.8, 11.2 and 3.2 times greater than that obtained on the CuO@NiO, NiO@CuO, TiO₂ P25, and NiO@TiO₂ catalysts, respectively. A higher photocatalytic activity obtained with the CuO@TiO2 core-shell catalyst was explained in terms of electron transferring from photoexcitated TiO₂ to the conduction band of CuO in the heterojunction structure in the interface of between TiO₂ shell and CuO core, that results in the ox-red cycles among Cu^{2+}/Cu^{+} couples in the TiO₂ shell, this inhibits electrons-holes pair recombination and thus improves the hydrogen production activity.

Declaration of conflict of interests

Authors declare herein that this article currently has no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgments

Financial support of this work was provided by Instituto Politécnico Nacional (Grant No. SIP-20181280, SIP-20196124 and SIP-20201659). S. P. Ramírez thanks the financial support offered by CONACYT-Mexico for her doctoral thesis study and for a student exchange in the University of Saskatchewan in Canada.

References

Asahi, R., Morikawa, T., Ohwaki, T., Aoki, K., & Taga, Y. (2001). Visible-light photocatalysis in nitrogen-doped titanium oxides. *Science, 293*(5528), 269-271. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1061051

Bahruji, H., Bowker, M., Davies, P. R., Al-Mazroai, L. S., Dickinson, A., Greaves, J., ... & Pedrono, F. (2010). Sustainable H₂ gas production by photocatalysis. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*, *216*(2-3), 115-118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2010.06.022

Biesinger, M. C., Payne, B. P., Lau, L. W., Gerson, A., & Smart, R. S. C. (2009). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic chemical state quantification of mixed nickel metal, oxide and hydroxide systems. Surface and Interface Analysis: An *International Journal devoted to the development and application of techniques for the analysis of surfaces, interfaces and thin films,* 41(4), 324-332.

https://doi.org/10.1002/sia.3026

Cai, W., Lu, G., He, J., & Lan, Y. (2012). The adsorption feature and photocatalytic oxidation activity of $K_{1-2x}M_xTiNbO_5$ (M= Mn, Ni) for methyl mercaptan in methane. *Ceramics International*, 38(4), 3167-3174.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2011.12.020

Chen, W. T., Jovic, V., Sun-Waterhouse, D., Idriss, H., & Waterhouse, G. I. (2013). The role of CuO in promoting photocatalytic hydrogen production over TiO₂. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, *38*(35), 15036-15048. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.09.101

Dholam, R., Patel, N., Adami, M., & Miotello, A. (2009). Hydrogen production by photocatalytic water-splitting using Cr-or Fedoped TiO₂ composite thin films photocatalyst. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, *34*(13), 5337-5346. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.05.011

Ghijsen, J., Tjeng, L. H., van Elp, J., Eskes, H., Westerink, J., Sawatzky, G. A., & Czyzyk, M. T. (1988). Electronic structure of Cu_2O and CuO. *Physical Review B*, 38(16), 11322. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.38.11322

Grabowska, E., Zaleska, A., Sorgues, S., Kunst, M., Etcheberry, A., Colbeau-Justin, C., & Remita, H. (2013). Modification of titanium (IV) dioxide with small silver nanoparticles: application in photocatalysis. J. *Phys. Chem. C, 117*, 1955–1962. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp3112183

Grigoriev, S., Porembsky, V., & Fateev, V. (2006). Pure hydrogen production by PEM electrolysis for hydrogen energy. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, *31*, 171-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2005.04.038

Guevara, J. C., Wang, J. A., Chen, L. F., Valenzuela, M. A., Salas, P., García-Ruiz, A., ... & Novaro, O. (2010). Ni/Ce-MCM-41 mesostructured catalysts for simultaneous production of hydrogen and nanocarbon via methane decomposition. *international journal of hydrogen energy*, *35*(8), 3509-3521. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2010.01.068

He, Q., Zhang, Z., Xiong, J., Xiong, Y., & Xiao, H. (2008). A novel biomaterial—Fe₃O₄: TiO₂ core-shell nano particle with magnetic performance and high visible light photocatalytic activity. *Optical Materials*, *31*(2), 380-384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optmat.2008.05.011

Jia, H., Xu, H., Hu, Y., Tang, Y., & Zhang, L. (2007). TiO2@ CdS core-shell nanorods films: fabrication and dramatically enhanced photoelectrochemical properties. *Electrochemistry Communications*, 9(3), 354-360.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2006.10.010

Kokporka, L., Onsuratoom, S., Puangpetch, T., & Chavadej, S. (2013). Sol-gel-synthesized mesoporous-assembled TiO_2 -ZrO₂ mixed oxide nanocrystals and their photocatalytic sensitized H₂ production activity under visible light irradiation. *Materials science in semiconductor processing*, *16*(3), 667-678.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mssp.2012.12.007

Kowalska, E., Remita, H., Colbeau-Justin, C., Hupka, J., & Belloni, J. (2008). Modification of titanium dioxide with platinum ions and clusters: application in photocatalysis. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 112*(4), 1124-1131. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp077466p

Kyriakou, V., Garagounis, I., Vourros, A., Vasileiou, E., Manerbino, A., Coors, W. G., & Stoukides, M. (2016). Methane steam reforming at low temperatures in a BaZr_{0.7} Ce_{0.2}Y_{0.1}O_{2.9} proton conducting membrane reactor. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, *186*, 1-9.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.12.039

Lee, I., Joo, J. B., Yin, Y., & Zaera, F. (2011). A yolk@ shell nanoarchitecture for Au/TiO₂ catalysts. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, *50*(43), 10208-10211. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201007660 Lei, M., Wang, N., Zhu, L., Zhou, Q., Nie, G., & Tang, H. (2016). Photocatalytic reductive degradation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers on CuO/TiO₂ nanocomposites: A mechanism based on the switching of photocatalytic reduction potential being controlled by the valence state of copper. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 182,* 414-423.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.09.031

Liu, R., Yoshida, H., Fujita, S. I., & Arai, M. (2014). Photocatalytic hydrogen production from glycerol and water with NiO_x/TiO₂ catalysts. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 144*, 41-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2013.06.024

Luna, A. L., Valenzuela, M. A., Colbeau-Justin, C., Vázquez, P., Rodriguez, J. L., Avendaño, J. R., ... & José, M. (2016). Photocatalytic degradation of gallic acid over CuO–TiO₂ composites under UV/Vis LEDs irradiation. *Applied Catalysis A: General, 521*, 140-148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2015.10.044

Liu, X., Li, Z., Zhao, C.X., Zhao, W., Yang, J., Wang, Y., & Li, F. (2014). Facile synthesis of core-shell CuO/Ag nanowires with enhanced photocatalytic and enhancement in photocurrent. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, *41* (9), 9–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2013.12.042

Martínez, F. M., Albiter, E., Alfaro, S., Luna, A. L., Colbeau-Justin, C., Barrera-Andrade, J. M., ... & Valenzuela, M. A. (2019). Hydrogen production from glycerol photoreforming on TiO₂/HKUST-1 composites: *Effect of preparation method*. *Catalysts*, 9(4), 338. https://doi.org/10.3390/catal9040338

Meng, H. L., Cui, C., Shen, H. L., Liang, D. Y., Xue, Y. Z., Li, P. G., & Tang, W. H. (2012). Synthesis and photocatalytic activity of TiO_2 @ CdS and CdS@ TiO_2 double-shelled hollow spheres. *Journal of alloys and compounds*, *527*, 30-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2012.02.043

Mostafavi, E., Mahinpey, N., Rahman, M., Sedghkerdar, M.H., Gupta, R. (2016). High-purity hydrogen production from ash-free coal by catalytic steam gasification integrated with drysorption CO_2 capture. *Fuel*, *178*, 272–282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2016.03.026

Radwan, N. R., El-Shall, M. S., & Hassan, H. M. (2007). Synthesis and characterization of nanoparticle Co₃O₄, CuO and NiO catalysts prepared by physical and chemical methods to minimize air pollution. Applied Catalysis A: General, 331, 8-18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2007.07.005 Rao, G. R., Meher, S. K., Mishra, B. G., & Charan, P. H. K. (2012). Nature and catalytic activity of bimetallic CuNi particles on CeO₂ support. *Catalysis today*, *198*(1), 140-147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2012.06.027

Rezaiyan, J., & Cheremisinoff, N. P. (2005). *Gasification technologies: a primer for engineers and scientists.* CRC press.

Riaza, N., Chong, F.K., Dutta, B.K., Man, Z.B., Khan, M.S., & Nurlael, E. (2012). Photodegradation of Orange II under visible light using Cu–Ni/TiO₂: Effect of calcination temperature. *Chem. Eng. J., 185*, 108–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2012.01.052

Sing, K.S.W.; Evertt, D.H.; Haul, R.A.W., Moscou, L.; Pierotti, R.A., Rouquerol, J., & Siemieniewska, T. (1985). Reporting of Physisorption Data for Gas/Solids Systems with Special Reference to the Determination of Surface Area and Porosity. *Pure Appl. Chem.*, *57*, 603–619.

Smith, W., & Zhao, Y. P. (2009). Superior photocatalytic performance by vertically aligned core–shell TiO₂/WO₃ nanorod arrays. *Catalysis Communications*, *10*(7), 1117-1121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2009.01.010

Stolten, D. (2010). *Hydrogen and fuel cells, fundamentals, technologies and applications*. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH.

Su, X., Zhao, J., Li, Y., Zhu, Y., Ma, X., Sun, F., & Wang, Z. (2009). Solution synthesis of Cu₂O/TiO₂ core-shell nanocomposites. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 349*(1-3), 151-155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2009.08.011

Tian, H., Ma, J., Li, K., & Li, J. (2009). Hydrothermal synthesis of S-doped TiO2 nanoparticles and their photocatalytic ability for degradation of methyl orange. *Ceramics International*, *35*(3), 1289-1292.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2008.05.003

Vaidya, S., Patra, A., & Ganguli, A. K. (2010). CdS@ TiO2 and ZnS@ TiO2 core-shell nanocomposites: Synthesis and optical properties. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 363*(1-3), 130-134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2010.04.030

Wang, F., Liu, J., Wang, X., Kong, J., & Qiu, S. (2012). Synthesis of hollow Fe3O4 at ZnO at anatase TiO2 core–shell structured spheres. *Ceramics International, 38*(8), 6899-6902. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2012.04.080 Wang, H., Zhang, L., Chen, Z., Hu, J., Li, S., Wang, Z., ... & Wang, X. (2014). Semiconductor heterojunction photocatalysts: design, construction, and photocatalytic performances. *Chemical Society Reviews*, *43*(15), 5234-5244. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CS00126E

Wei, P., Liu, J., & Li, Z. (2013). Effect of Pt loading and calcination temperature on the photocatalytic hydrogen production activity of TiO₂ microspheres. *Ceramics International*, *39*(5), 5387-5391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2012.12.045

Wu, J., Li, C., Zhao, X., Wu, Q., Qi, X., Chen, X., ... & Cao, Y. (2015). Photocatalytic oxidation of gas-phase Hg0 by CuO/TiO₂. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, *176*, 559-569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.04.044

Wu, G., Chen, T., Su, W., Zhou, G., Zong, X., Lei, Z., & Li, C. (2008). H2 production with ultra-low CO selectivity via photocatalytic reforming of methanol on Au/TiO₂ catalyst. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, *33*(4), 1243-1251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2007.12.020

Xu, S., & Sun, D. D. (2009). Significant improvement of photocatalytic hydrogen generation rate over TiO_2 with deposited CuO. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, *34*(15), 6096-6104.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.05.119

Zeng, S., Liu, K., Zhang, L., Qin, B., Chen, T., Yin, Y., & Su, H. (2014). Deactivation analyses of CeO₂/CuO catalysts in the preferential oxidation of carbon monoxide. *Journal of Power Sources, 261*, 46-54.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.03.043

Zhang, W., Li, Y., Wang, C., Wang, P., & Wang, Q. (2013). Energy recovery during advanced wastewater treatment: Simultaneous estrogenic activity removal and hydrogen production through solar photocatalysis. *Water Research*, *47*(3), 1480–1490.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.12.019

Zhang, Y., Xu, Y., Li, T., & Wang, Y. (2012). Preparation of ternary Cr₂O₃–SiC–TiO₂ composites for the photocatalytic production of hydrogen. *Particuology*, *10*(1), 46-50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.partic.2011.08.001

Zheng, X. J., Wei, Y. J., Wei, L. F., Xie, B., & Wei, M. B. (2010). Photocatalytic H2 production from acetic acid solution over CuO/SnO2 nanocomposites under UV irradiation. *International journal of hydrogen energy*, *35*(21), 11709-11718. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2010.08.090 Zhou M. –H. & Li Y.-X. (2017). Preparation of exfoliated- MoS_2 loaded TiO_2 and its photocatalytic hydrogen production from aqueous glycerol solution. *J. Chem. Eng. of Chinese Universities*, *31*(3), 609-617).

https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1003-9015.2017.03.015